

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,396	01/23/2004	Terry Keith Bryant	1023.8009	8942	
DANIEL S. PC	7590 11/12/200 DLLEY, P.A.	EXAMINER			
7251 WEST PALMETTO PARK ROAD			ASTORINO, MICHAEL C		
SUITE 202 BOCA RATO	N. FL 33433		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3769		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dan@danpolley.com betty@danpolley.com beatrizbernal@bellsouth.net

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,396	BRYANT, TERRY KEITH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Astorino	3769		

	Michael C. Astorino	3769				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 Ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to void dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet			a lancan fau			
(c) in they are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: Applicant's after final amendment removes limitation in each independent claim from the previous consideration and/or search without the combination of said medical apparatus by the user for the curre 41,33(a)).	ous set of claims, is essentially time on of the removed limitation. Additi	er, which must be give onally the limitation, "t	en its own prior to any use			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling thenon-allowable claim(s). 						
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-9,11-17,19-28 and 30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

/Michael C. Astorino/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091108